Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/608,730	CUMMING ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) <u>Dennis H. Pedder</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Rashid</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 March 2005	Time: <u>10:20am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all of record		
Prior art documents discussed: references applied		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a trate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested that applicant's arguments in the appeal brief were directed to the existence of a --butt joint--between the two portions of distinct material. The claim language was suggested to be broader in scope and it was suggested that incorporation of the --butt joint-- limitation into the claims would define over the references applied. Mr. Rashid agreed to this change to be incorporated by examiner's amendment..